50 CPA Questions and Answers

By Scott McGrath, MFP©, CWM©, CTEP©

Introduction: Educating the CPA Community on the Nexxess "DC Trust."

The following compilation of **50 CPA questions or objections**—and the detailed rebuttals that accompany them—has been developed to address the nuanced and often misunderstood tax mechanics of a specific type of irrevocable non-grantor complex discretionary spendthrift trust. This trust operates within the boundaries of Subchapter J of the Internal Revenue Code and applicable fiduciary accounting standards. It is designed not to evade taxation, but to legally defer it by virtue of income classification, strategic allocations to corpus, and the absence of any distributions throughout its lifetime. These are not aggressive or gray-area tax positions, but rather methodical applications of IRC §§641–685, §643(a), and §661–662, among others.

CPAs are correct in asserting that "**all income must be taxed somewhere**." But they must also understand that taxation arises only when income is legally recognized and when it flows to a taxpayer. Income needs ownership to be taxed. In this case, the trust does not create Distributable Net Income (DNI) because all passive income—rents, royalties, capital gains, dividends, interest, and passive K-1 income—are **classified** as corpus per the trust instrument and state fiduciary law. Without DNI, there is no deduction under §661, no income to the beneficiary under §662, and therefore, no tax liability to either party. The trust still reports gross income transparently on Form 1041, but it does not take deductions or issue K-1s, because there are no distributions. You will see that there is no taxable income, no FAI (Fiduciary Accounting Income) and no DNI (Distributable Net Income) and therefore no income was ever generated by the trust. This explains the zero taxation.

This trust's design mirrors the philosophy of legal tax deferral mechanisms such as qualified retirement accounts, charitable remainder trusts, and life insurance contracts. It does not seek to recharacterize or conceal income, but rather leverages statutory exclusions—most notably the default exclusion of capital gains from DNI under §643(a)(3)—to retain and grow wealth for the benefit of future generations. In addition, it reclassifies passive income into corpus using the trust document and state law.

Importantly, the trust is structured to operate for a full 100 years with zero distributions, and only at termination does it transfer principal to the remainder beneficiaries. Since all prior receipts were declared to corpus, and no DNI was ever retained or distributed, the final payout qualifies under IRC §102 as non-taxable inheritance. This resource is designed for the high-level CPA who demands legal clarity, consistent logic, and administrative rigor.

Each objection anticipates common professional concerns ranging from fiduciary accounting treatment and IRS enforcement policy to constructive receipt and throwback tax doctrine—and responds with technical precision grounded in the Code, regulations, and case law. These are not simplistic answers, but reasoned, layered explanations suitable for peer-level review. The goal is not merely to defend this trust model, but to elevate the conversation around income classification and the underutilized flexibility granted to trustees under both federal and state law.

For CPAs, this document is an invitation to examine whether all income is truly created equal—and whether our assumptions about trust taxation have kept pace with the evolving financial and legal tools available today. When the trust instrument, fiduciary accounting principles, and federal law operate in harmony, a powerful structure emerges—one that defers tax legally, transparently, and indefinitely. The following pages explore how that structure stands up to 50 of the most rigorous CPA objections.

Section 1: General Income & Taxability (Advocacy Perspective)

1. "All income must be taxed somewhere."

✓ *Correct in principle, but incomplete in application.*

Under the Internal Revenue Code, income is only taxable when it meets certain statutory thresholds—specifically, when it constitutes **Distributable Net Income (DNI)** or is retained as **taxable fiduciary accounting income**. This trust does **not** distribute income, nor does it generate DNI under IRC §§661–662. Instead, all passive income—including capital gains, interest, dividends, rents, and royalties—is allocated to **corpus (principal)** in accordance with the trust instrument and the trustee's discretion.

Because no distribution deduction is claimed, and no Schedule K-1s are issued to beneficiaries, there is no taxable event. The income remains untaxed as long as it is not distributed. This structure fully complies with the Internal Revenue Code and is built on lawful deferral, not avoidance.

2. "Capital gains are always taxable to the trust."

✓ *Not always—only when included in DNI or retained as taxable income.*

Capital gains are part of gross income under IRC §61, but they are **specifically excluded from DNI by default** under IRC §643(a)(3), unless the trust instrument or local law mandates otherwise. In this trust structure, capital gains are expressly allocated to corpus and never distributed to beneficiaries. Therefore, they are reported on the trust's Form 1041 as gross income, but they do not trigger taxation because they are not deductible, not included in DNI, and not passed through.

The trustee's discretionary power to allocate these gains to principal is clearly documented, consistently executed, and aligned with fiduciary accounting standards. Without a distribution or election to include them in DNI, these capital gains remain lawfully untaxed.

3. "Passive income is still taxable."

✓ *Yes, in most cases—but not necessarily within this structure.*

Under normal trust taxation, passive income such as interest, dividends, rents, and royalties would be taxed to either the trust or the beneficiaries. However, in this structure, all such income is legally reclassified as principal in accordance with the trust instrument and the trustee's discretionary authority. This means it does **not** count as fiduciary accounting income or DNI.

As a result, even though the income is transparently reported on Form 1041 as required, it does **not** trigger taxation. There is no distribution deduction, and no income is passed out. This is a legal and fully compliant method of deferring taxation on retained earnings—not an evasion tactic.

4. "Isn't this just recharacterizing income to avoid tax?"

✓ *Absolutely not. This is lawful classification, not mischaracterization.*

The structure relies on long-standing fiduciary accounting principles and the Internal Revenue Code—particularly IRC §643—which allows for **income to be allocated to corpus** by the trustee, provided it aligns with the governing instrument and applicable state law. This is **not** an artificial reclassification; it is a recognized accounting treatment supported by both statute and case law.

There is no intent to disguise or misstate income—everything is reported as required, but income is retained in a manner that lawfully avoids taxation **until a distribution occurs**. Courts have repeatedly upheld the trustee's discretionary authority in such matters when exercised in good faith and with proper documentation.

5. "Why wouldn't the IRS just consider this income to be taxable?"

✓ *Because the IRS is bound to follow the law and its own regulations.*

The IRS cannot arbitrarily impose tax where the Code does not authorize it. Under IRC §641 and Subchapter J, a trust is only taxed on income that is **either distributed or retained as fiduciary accounting income**. In this case, the trust **retains** all income as principal, does **not claim a distribution deduction**, and does **not pass income to beneficiaries**.

By adhering to the trust instrument and fiduciary accounting standards, and by reporting all income transparently on Form 1041, the trust fulfills its obligations without triggering tax liability. The IRS has no basis to override a structure that operates within the statutory framework. Lawful deferral of taxation is not avoidance—it is strategic compliance.

Section 2: Distributions, DNI, and Schedule K-1 (Advocacy Perspective)

6. "If the trust earns income, shouldn't it issue a K-1?"

✓ *Not unless income is actually distributed to a beneficiary and included in DNI.*

A trust is only required to issue a **Schedule K-1** when it distributes income that is classified as **Distributable Net Income (DNI)** under IRC §§661–662. This trust does **not** distribute any income, nor does it generate DNI, because all receipts—regardless of source—are allocated to **corpus (principal)**.

As a result, there is **nothing to pass through**, and no beneficiary receives any reportable income. The trust still files **Form 1041** to report gross income in full, but since it claims **no distribution deduction** and issues **no K-1s**, the IRS has no expectation of K-1 reporting. This is entirely compliant with Subchapter J and prevailing fiduciary accounting standards.

7. "If you make a distribution in the future, won't that trigger tax?"

✓ *Yes—but only if the distribution includes current or past DNI.*

If this trust were to make a **taxable distribution**, then yes—under IRC §§661–662—it would trigger a deduction for the trust and income recognition for the beneficiary. However, this specific trust is **drafted and operated** with an ironclad prohibition on making **any distributions during its lifetime**. It is discretionary, and the trustee is under no obligation—nor granted authority—to distribute income.

At the end of the trust's term, only **principal (corpus)** is distributed, which carries **no income tax liability** under IRC §102. Because no distributions of income are permitted, the possibility of triggering a taxable event simply does not exist in this structure.

8. "What if the trust distributes principal—is that taxed?"

✓ *No. Distributions of corpus are not income and are not taxed.*

According to IRC §102, **gifts and bequests**—including distributions of trust principal—are **expressly excluded from gross income**. Unless the distribution

includes **income in respect of a decedent (IRD)** or **undistributed DNI from a prior year**, it is **not taxable** to the recipient.

This trust allocates **all receipts** to corpus and never generates or accumulates DNI. Therefore, when the trust eventually terminates and transfers assets to beneficiaries, those transfers are treated as **non-taxable gifts or bequests** of principal—not income. This is a lawful and tax-free outcome, fully supported by statutory authority.

9. "Is this income deferral or income avoidance?"

✓ *This is lawful **tax deferral**, not avoidance.*

Tax avoidance implies concealment, misrepresentation, or abuse of the law. This trust does none of that. It operates with **full transparency**, reports all income annually on **Form 1041**, and does **not take any distribution deductions**.

Because no income is passed to beneficiaries and no taxable distributions occur, the trust **lawfully defers** tax under the rules of **IRC §§641–685**. This is no different than deferral mechanisms used in retirement accounts or deferred annuities. The IRS recognizes and permits this strategy when executed properly. As long as income remains in corpus and is not distributed, **no tax is due**.

10. "Can the IRS recharacterize retained income as distributed?"

✓ *Only in cases of abuse, constructive receipt, or disguised benefits—not here.*

The IRS has the authority to recharacterize transactions only if there is a **constructive distribution**, **economic benefit**, or **indirect transfer** of income to a beneficiary. That is **not the case** with this trust. The beneficiaries have **no enforceable right** to income, and the trustee has **no obligation or discretion** to distribute it.

There are **no side agreements, no personal benefits**, and no arrangements that would trigger constructive receipt. In short, **no distribution exists—actual or implied**. Without any such event, the IRS has **no grounds to reclassify retained income as distributed**, and courts have consistently rejected IRS challenges when the trust's language and conduct clearly reflect proper discretionary administration.

Section 3: Legal Doctrines and IRS Policy

11. "Won't the IRS argue economic substance?"

The economic substance doctrine, codified at IRC §7701(o), applies only to transactions that produce no real economic effect other than a tax benefit and that lack a substantial non-tax purpose. It is not meant to attack traditional, long-recognized legal entities such as irrevocable trusts, especially when they are created for valid purposes grounded in fiduciary and estate law. This trust is not a tax-driven gimmick. It is established for legitimate, well-documented non-tax purposes such as multigenerational asset protection, estate planning, control over passive investment income, and insulation from creditors through a spendthrift clause.

The trust earns passive income, reports it on Form 1041 in full, and lawfully retains that income in corpus without triggering taxation. No steps are artificial or economically irrelevant. The doctrine is inapplicable here because both prongs—economic impact and non-tax purpose—are fully satisfied by the structure and operation of the trust.

12. "Isn't this an abusive tax shelter?"

No. This trust is not a tax shelter, abusive or otherwise, under any definition established by IRC §§6662, 6111, or 6707A. Abusive shelters involve the misuse of transactions to generate artificial losses, exaggerated deductions, or concealment of income, and often involve marketed schemes to multiple taxpayers. This trust is none of those things. It is a single-entity, privately drafted fiduciary arrangement that is fully disclosed to the IRS. It claims no deductions, creates no losses, and does not use inflated basis schemes. It does not engage in aggressive tax positions or apply for special credits.

All income is transparently reported, and none is distributed or deducted. The structure adheres to the black-letter rules of Subchapter J and applicable state fiduciary law. Tax is not avoided—it is lawfully deferred under a framework Congress itself created. The trust is a legitimate, compliant vehicle for long-term wealth and asset management.

13. "Substance over form will defeat this."

The substance-over-form doctrine allows the IRS or courts to look past formal labels and examine whether the underlying reality of a transaction reflects the same intent as its documentation. This doctrine, however, does not apply where the form and substance are aligned. In this trust, they are. The form is an irrevocable, non-grantor, discretionary trust that retains passive income and allocates it to corpus.

The substance is the same: the trustee lawfully receives income, reports it, distributes nothing, claims no deduction, and retains the income according to the terms of the trust and governing state law. There are no disguised transfers, side agreements, or indirect benefits. The trust operates exactly as drafted and intended. Courts applying this doctrine do not override valid fiduciary accounting practices, especially when income treatment is clearly authorized by the trust instrument and consistently applied over time. Substance and form are one and the same in this structure.

14. "What if the IRS audits the return?"

The IRS conducts audits based on discrepancies, aggressive deductions, underreporting, or schemes involving abuse of tax rules—not because a lawful trust reports income and takes no deductions. This trust files Form 1041 annually, reports all gross income without concealment, issues no K-1s, and claims no deduction under IRC §651. Its fiduciary accounting is consistent with both the Internal Revenue Code and the trust instrument, and the trustee can easily document the classification of all income as corpus under IRC §643(a) and applicable state law.

In the event of an audit, the trustee is fully equipped to provide the trust document, supporting accounting entries, state law authority, and Form 1041 filings to demonstrate that no taxable distribution ever occurred. The absence of DNI and the prohibition on distributions further eliminate exposure. This kind of transparency and legal conformity minimizes audit risk and defuses any claim of abuse.

15. "The IRS won't allow untaxed income to sit forever."

The IRS is required to follow the law as written in the Internal Revenue Code. Under Subchapter J (IRC §§641–685), a trust is only taxed on income that is either distributed or retained as taxable fiduciary accounting income. In this trust, no income is distributed, and all receipts are classified as additions to principal in accordance with the trust's express terms and state fiduciary statutes. There is no DNI, no deduction, and no K-1. The trust pays no tax because no taxable event ever occurs. This is not a loophole—it is the exact outcome Congress authorized when it allowed complex trusts to retain income without mandatory distributions.

There is no provision in the Code requiring a trust to eventually recognize tax on corpus. So long as the trust continues to accumulate income as principal without distribution, and so long as the trust document and trustee behavior align, the IRS has no authority to assess tax on that retained income. This is lawful tax deferral, not avoidance, and it is fully consistent with both the letter and spirit of federal tax policy.

Section 4: Throwback Tax and Schedule J

16. "You'll pay the throwback tax later."

The throwback tax, governed by IRC §§665–668, only applies when a trust makes an *accumulation distribution*—a current-year distribution that includes undistributed Distributable Net Income (DNI) from prior years. The entire purpose of the throwback tax is to recapture tax that would have been paid if the income had been taxed in the year it was earned and distributed.

However, this trust is designed to never generate DNI, and it prohibits all distributions during its lifetime. Every receipt—capital gains, interest, dividends, rents, royalties—is classified as corpus according to the terms of the trust and state fiduciary law. Because nothing is ever treated as distributable income, there is no accumulation and therefore no trigger for the throwback rules. Without prior-year DNI and without distributions, the throwback tax is inapplicable as a matter of law. This is not a timing gimmick—it is a structural exclusion from the throwback regime, built on fiduciary accounting and proper administration.

17. "Schedule J must be filed eventually."

Schedule J on Form 1041 is used to compute the throwback tax when a trust makes an accumulation distribution that includes DNI from prior years. This schedule helps the IRS determine how much income should be taxed as if it had been received in earlier years, subject to higher marginal rates and interest charges. But this mechanism only applies if the trust accumulates DNI and later distributes it.

In this case, the trust never generates DNI, and it never makes distributions—not in the current year, and not in any future year. All income is allocated to principal, and the trust intentionally avoids the DNI framework by electing not to claim a distribution deduction and not to issue Schedule K-1s. Because there is no accumulation of distributable income, Schedule J is not required now or later. Even at termination, the trust distributes only principal, and under IRC rules, principal distributions do not require Schedule J reporting. Thus, Schedule J has no legal relevance to the trust's operation at any stage.

18. "At termination, beneficiaries will owe tax."

No, the beneficiaries will not owe income tax on the final distribution. Under IRC §102(a), property received by gift, bequest, or inheritance is excluded from gross income. The only exceptions are for income in respect of a decedent (IRD) under §691, or distributions of DNI from prior years under Subchapter J. This trust holds no IRD assets and generates no DNI, so neither exception applies.

Upon termination—whether after 100 years or through court-supervised wind-down—the trust simply transfers principal to the remainder beneficiaries. Since the trust retained and classified all income as corpus during its existence, and no income was ever distributed or passed through, the final distribution is a nontaxable event. No Schedule K-1s are issued, and no income is reported by the beneficiaries. The assets received are treated as a non-taxable inheritance, which is exactly what IRC §102 is intended to protect. The trust was structured with this outcome in mind from inception, ensuring long-term compliance and predictability.

19. "How do you know corpus won't be recharacterized?"

The classification of receipts as corpus is governed by the trust instrument and state fiduciary law—specifically, statutes like the Uniform Principal and Income Act (UPIA). Under IRC §643(b) and Treasury Regulation §1.643(a)-3, the IRS must respect classifications made in accordance with local fiduciary law and the terms of the governing trust document. As long as the trustee acts consistently, in good faith, and in accordance with the trust's terms, the IRS has no authority to recharacterize corpus as income. This trust gives the trustee discretionary authority to allocate all receipts—including capital gains, dividends, interest, and other passive income—to principal. That allocation is documented in the trust language and applied uniformly in practice. There are no disguised distributions, no side agreements, and no beneficiary access to the retained income. So long as the trustee maintains proper accounting records and files Form 1041 accurately, there is no basis for recharacterization. Courts have repeatedly upheld this approach when the fiduciary administration matches the trust's express terms.

20. "Won't state fiduciary law require income distribution?"

No, state fiduciary law will not override the trust's terms. The Uniform Principal and Income Act (UPIA), adopted in some form by nearly every state, provides default rules for the allocation of receipts between income and principal—but it expressly allows those rules to be overridden by the trust instrument. If a trust is drafted to direct that all receipts be treated as corpus, and if it grants the trustee discretion to accumulate or retain income, then the default UPIA rules do not apply. In a discretionary trust like this one, where the trustee is explicitly instructed to withhold distributions and to classify all income as principal, the trust's intent controls. Most states' fiduciary statutes give deference to the settlor's intent over boilerplate allocation rules. The trustee is not obligated to distribute income just because income exists in an accounting sense—especially when the trust instrument forbids it. Courts and the IRS will both respect that hierarchy, and the trust's tax status remains fully intact when state law is followed as written in the document.

Section 5: Fiduciary Accounting and Principal Rules

21. "You can't just call everything corpus."

That's correct—classification must follow legal authority, not arbitrary choice. However, both federal tax law and state fiduciary law explicitly allow trust income to be classified as corpus when the trust instrument so directs and when the trustee exercises discretion within those bounds. The Uniform Principal and Income Act (UPIA), adopted in most states, provides default rules but also permits trustees to reallocate receipts to principal if the trust language authorizes it or if needed to fulfill the trust's objectives.

In this trust, the language is unambiguous: all receipts, regardless of source, are to be treated as additions to principal. This includes capital gains, dividends, interest, rents, and royalties. So long as the trustee follows this instruction consistently and documents those classifications in accordance with fiduciary standards, the IRS and courts will respect the corpus designation. It is not a loophole or misstatement—it's a lawful application of well-established trust accounting rules.

22. "Capital gains are usually considered principal, right?"

Yes—and that's exactly why this trust allocates capital gains to corpus by default. Capital gains are not part of fiduciary accounting income unless the trust instrument specifically includes them, or unless state law requires such treatment. Under UPIA, capital gains are presumed to be principal unless expressly directed otherwise. Likewise, under IRC §643(a)(3), capital gains are excluded from Distributable Net Income (DNI) unless the fiduciary accounting rules or trust language include them.

In this trust, both the governing document and the trustee's consistent treatment establish capital gains as corpus. That means they are not distributed, not deducted, and not taxed unless the trust affirmatively elects to do otherwise—which it never does. This treatment is not only permissible but advisable in accumulation trusts designed to defer taxation. The law and fiduciary accounting align perfectly with the trust's structure.

23. "Dividends and interest are usually FAI."

That's true in a default setting, but defaults only apply when the trust instrument is silent. Fiduciary accounting income (FAI) includes interest and dividends unless the trust document overrides that rule—and in this case, it does. The trust explicitly states that all receipts are to be treated as principal. This instruction is legally enforceable and overrides the default classification found in UPIA or general trust accounting principles.

When both the trust and applicable state law authorize allocation of dividends and interest to corpus, and the trustee follows that mandate in good faith, there is no requirement to treat such income as distributable. This classification neutralizes tax exposure by removing those receipts from DNI. The trustee is exercising a lawful power, not recharacterizing income arbitrarily. This method is fully compliant with federal tax law and fiduciary norms.

24. "UPIA doesn't allow all income to be reclassified."

UPIA does not prohibit reclassification—it empowers it. While UPIA sets out default rules for categorizing income and principal, it also includes clear provisions that allow the trust instrument to override those defaults. Moreover, many state versions of UPIA grant trustees the authority to adjust allocations between income and principal when necessary to carry out the trust's purposes or to treat beneficiaries equitably.

This trust eliminates the need for case-by-case reclassification by preemptively specifying that **all** income is to be treated as principal. That removes ambiguity, ensures consistency, and strengthens legal defensibility. There is no annual recalibration or subjective judgment involved. The allocation rule is structural, baked into the trust's design, and carried out as written. This approach keeps administration simple while remaining entirely within the bounds of UPIA and the Internal Revenue Code.

25. "What happens if the trustee dies or changes?"

The legal character and tax treatment of the trust remain unchanged. The trustee is a fiduciary administrator—not the source of the trust's authority. A successor trustee steps into the same legal obligations as the predecessor and is bound by the exact same document, accounting standards, and reporting requirements. The instructions in the trust instrument do not lapse or become discretionary just because the trustee changes.

All successors are required to continue allocating receipts to corpus, withholding distributions, and filing the trust's tax returns in accordance with IRS and state fiduciary law. Additionally, successor clauses and trustee appointment provisions ensure continuity and compliance. The IRS does not reassess trust classification or tax posture simply because of a trustee change. As long as the new trustee adheres to the trust's terms and maintains consistency in administration, the tax-deferral structure remains fully intact and enforceable.

Section 6: Reporting and Compliance

26. "Does this raise a red flag to the IRS?"

No, not if the trust is properly reported and consistently administered. The IRS looks for inconsistencies, underreporting, or abusive deductions—none of which are present here. This trust files Form 1041 annually, reports all gross income, and claims no deduction under IRC §651, since no income is distributed. It issues no Schedule K-1s because there are no pass-through allocations to beneficiaries. The trust's tax posture is transparent: all income is reported, none is deducted, and none is distributed.

The IRS does not target structures that follow the law and disclose income fully. What it flags are schemes involving hidden income, omitted filings, or fictitious losses. This trust avoids all such issues through regular, rule-bound reporting and conservative administration. When the fiduciary accounting matches the trust terms, and the reporting is consistent year over year, the trust is unlikely to attract negative scrutiny from the IRS.

27. "Is this consistent year to year?"

Yes, consistency is not only maintained—it is central to the trust's legal defensibility. Every tax year, the trustee uses the same accounting approach: reporting gross income on Form 1041, allocating all receipts to corpus, taking no distribution deduction, and issuing no Schedule K-1s. This uniform method reflects a clear and predictable application of both the trust document and fiduciary accounting law.

The IRS and courts place strong emphasis on consistency when evaluating the legitimacy of trust operations. A trust that changes income treatment from year to year without reason is more likely to draw attention or be challenged. This trust avoids that risk entirely by adhering to a fixed, durable methodology. Administrative regularity strengthens legal compliance and reduces audit exposure, affirming that the trust is not engaging in tax manipulation.

28. "What if the trust has foreign assets?"

Foreign assets do not invalidate or interfere with the trust's structure, but they do trigger additional reporting obligations under federal law. The trustee must comply with FATCA (Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act) and FBAR (Foreign Bank Account Report) requirements when applicable.

This may include filing Form 8938 (Statement of Specified Foreign Financial Assets), FinCEN Form 114 (FBAR), or other disclosures, depending on thresholds and asset types.

These disclosure rules are separate from income reporting. Regardless of where the assets are located, the income they generate is reported on Form 1041 and allocated to corpus as required by the trust document and state fiduciary law. The existence of foreign holdings does not affect income classification, DNI generation, or the trust's tax deferral model. As long as the trustee fulfills foreign asset reporting obligations, the trust remains compliant under both domestic and international tax law.

29. "Do beneficiaries report anything?"

No—not unless they receive a distribution that includes taxable income. Under IRC §662, beneficiaries are only required to report income that is distributed to them and included in the trust's DNI. In this structure, no distributions are made, and no DNI is ever generated. Therefore, there is no income to pass through to beneficiaries, no Schedule K-1s to issue, and no personal tax reporting required.

Beneficiaries do not have constructive receipt or access to any part of the trust's income or assets during its existence. The trust accumulates income strictly within corpus, keeping it outside the reach of IRC §§661–662. Beneficiaries would only have a reporting obligation if the trust were to distribute income—which it never does under its governing terms. Until the trust terminates and transfers principal, beneficiaries have no tax exposure related to the trust.

30. "Will the IRS consider this 'constructive income'?"

No, because constructive income or constructive receipt applies only when a taxpayer has unrestricted access to income or control over it—even if they do not physically receive it. In this trust, beneficiaries have no such access. The trust is discretionary and spendthrift-protected, meaning the trustee has full authority to retain all income and the beneficiaries have no enforceable right to compel a distribution. The trust document explicitly prohibits distributions for the duration of its existence, reinforcing that the income is not available to beneficiaries in any direct or indirect way.

There are no side arrangements, economic benefits, or personal use of trust property that could suggest disguised distributions or access. Courts and the IRS have consistently ruled that constructive receipt requires both the ability and the right to control the income. In this case, the beneficiaries possess neither. As such, there is no legal or factual basis for the IRS to assert constructive income. The structure is not only airtight on paper—it is operated accordingly.

Section 7: Continuity, Administration, and Trustee Oversight

31. "What if a trustee accidentally makes a distribution?"

If a trustee inadvertently distributes income, it could trigger an unintentional taxable event by converting a portion of trust income into Distributable Net Income (DNI) under IRC §661, which would require a deduction by the trust and the issuance of a Schedule K-1 to the recipient. However, this trust is deliberately drafted to prohibit any income distributions. The trustee is legally bound to follow the express terms of the trust instrument and has a fiduciary duty to avoid such errors.

In the rare event of an accidental distribution, immediate corrective action can be taken. This includes the recovery of the funds, the reclassification of the event as an administrative error, and, if needed, the filing of amended returns with full disclosure. Trustees who use professional accounting software and consult with legal counsel are far less likely to make these mistakes. More importantly, trustee onboarding, training, and the internal controls built into the administration process serve as a robust safeguard against such occurrences.

32. "Won't a new trustee misinterpret these provisions?"

All trustees, whether original, successor, or institutional—are legally obligated to administer the trust according to its written terms and applicable fiduciary law. The trust instrument clearly and unambiguously states that all receipts must be allocated to corpus and that no distributions of income are permitted during the life of the trust. A new trustee cannot simply override or reinterpret these provisions based on preference or misunderstanding.

In addition, most successor trustees are professionals—such as law firms, trust companies, or CPAs—who understand their duties under the law. The trust may also contain clauses that further ensure continuity, including mandatory adherence to past accounting treatment and limitations on amending or deviating from administrative policy. When successor trustees are properly onboarded and equipped with the prior year's filings and operational history, the risk of misinterpretation is minimal. Legal counsel can also guide transitions to ensure the trust's reporting posture and fiduciary intent remain consistent.

33. "What if the state changes fiduciary law mid-stream?"

States periodically amend their versions of the Uniform Principal and Income Act (UPIA) or enact new fiduciary rules, but these changes typically do not override the express terms of existing trusts.

Most trust statutes both under UPIA and state-specific versions—include language that grants priority to the intent and provisions of the trust instrument over default legal rules.

Additionally, many states include opt-in or opt-out provisions for newly enacted statutes, meaning existing trusts are not automatically subject to new rules unless they affirmatively elect to adopt them. Even if a change in state law occurs, a properly drafted trust like this one especially if it contains a choice-of-law clause—will continue to operate under its original legal framework. Legal counsel can monitor changes in state law and advise whether the trust needs to take action or update any procedures. As long as the trust operates in accordance with its governing document and consistently applies fiduciary principles, changes in statutory background rules will not affect its structure.

34. "Is the trust subject to the 65-day rule?"

The 65-day rule, provided in IRC §663(b), allows complex trusts that make distributions within 65 days after year-end to treat those distributions as having been made in the prior tax year for income tax purposes. This is often used for year-end tax planning in distributing trusts.

However, this trust does not make any distributions—neither during the tax year nor within the 65-day window that follows. Because it intentionally avoids generating Distributable Net Income (DNI) and never claims a deduction under IRC §661, there is no income to "back-date" or retroactively treat under §663(b). Therefore, the 65-day rule is entirely irrelevant to this structure.

The trust does not participate in year-end planning distributions, nor does it engage in beneficiary income allocations. Its operation is fully outside the scope of §663(b) because the trust remains nondistributive by design and by practice.

35. "Do you need an annual tax opinion to back this up?"

No, an annual tax opinion is not required for the trust to operate lawfully or to remain in compliance. The structure of this trust, and its tax treatment, is grounded in the Internal Revenue Code—specifically Subchapter J—and supported by fiduciary accounting standards and state law. So long as the trustee consistently files Form 1041, reports gross income accurately, allocates receipts to corpus under IRC §643, and claims no distribution deduction under IRC §651, the trust is fully compliant.

That said, in high-asset or high-scrutiny cases, some families or fiduciaries may opt to obtain an annual legal or CPA-issued tax opinion for additional documentation or audit defense purposes. While this can enhance peace of mind and confirm that ongoing operations remain aligned with current tax law, it is not necessary to

validate the trust's strategy. The structure's legality and defensibility are already well-supported by statute, regulation, and precedent. An opinion letter is optional—not a prerequisite.

Section 8: Trust Termination and Long-Term Planning

36. "What happens when the trust ends after 100 years?"

The trust technically doesn't end after 100 years. It could be written that way, but usually it's not. The trust can last hundreds of years. It depends on the Trustee, ultimately. The Trustee ultimately has the say as to when it ends or for how long it goes, if it's not written to end in 100 years. However, upon ending the trust terminates and distributes its remaining assets to the designated remainder beneficiaries. Because the trust has never made a distribution of income and has never generated Distributable Net Income (DNI), all accumulated wealth within the trust is classified as corpus. Under IRC §102(a), property received as a gift, bequest, or inheritance is excluded from the recipient's gross income unless it includes items of income in respect of a decedent (IRD), which this trust does not hold.

The final distribution to beneficiaries consists entirely of principal that was never taxed due to lawful retention within the trust. Since no DNI has ever existed or passed through the trust to beneficiaries, there is no income tax consequence upon final distribution. The beneficiaries receive these assets tax-free under the gift and inheritance exclusion provided by federal law. This outcome reflects decades of careful planning and strict adherence to fiduciary accounting and tax rules.

37. "Won't accumulated capital gains trigger tax later?"

No, not if the capital gains have been properly allocated to corpus and excluded from DNI during the trust's lifetime. Under IRC §643(a)(3), capital gains are not included in DNI unless the trust instrument or state law requires their inclusion. This trust explicitly allocates all capital gains to principal, ensuring they are not passed to beneficiaries and are not deducted by the trust.

These capital gains may be embedded in the value of trust-held assets—such as appreciated securities or real estate—but they are not taxable until a realization event occurs, such as a sale by the beneficiary after distribution. Simply distributing appreciated property does not trigger capital gains tax.

The unrealized nature of these gains means they pass to beneficiaries as part of corpus, with taxation deferred until a future disposition, if any. The trust's structure allows lawful accumulation without accelerating gain recognition.

38. "Will beneficiaries owe income tax at termination?"

No, beneficiaries will not owe income tax when they receive the final distribution—provided the assets they receive consist solely of principal. IRC §662 requires beneficiaries to include in gross income only those items that are distributed and that are part of the trust's DNI. Since this trust does not produce or distribute DNI and does not hold IRD, the assets received at termination are excluded from income under IRC §102.

The trust may distribute appreciated property, but receiving appreciated property does not create a taxable event. Capital gains are only realized upon the subsequent sale of the property by the beneficiary. Unless an IRC §643(e)(3) election is made to treat the distribution as a sale, beneficiaries take a carryover basis and report gain only if and when they dispose of the property. As long as the trust continues to operate under its established tax posture, there is no income tax imposed at termination.

39. "Doesn't basis carry over at termination?"

Yes, unless the trustee affirmatively elects to treat the distribution as a sale under IRC §643(e)(3). When a trust distributes property in-kind, the general rule is that the beneficiary receives the trust's basis in that property—this is called "carryover basis." However, the trustee has the option to elect to treat the distribution as if the trust had sold the property at fair market value, which would generate tax at the trust level but provide the beneficiary with a stepped-up basis.

This election is entirely optional and may be used strategically depending on the specific assets involved, the trust's taxable situation, and the beneficiaries' long-term financial plans. If no election is made, the beneficiary receives the property with the same tax basis the trust held, and capital gain is only realized upon a later sale. The key point is that the basis rules are well understood, and the trust's planning anticipates and manages this issue in accordance with the family's broader wealth goals.

40. "Could this strategy create a generation-skipping tax (GST) event?"

It could, but only under specific conditions that are unrelated to the income tax strategy of the trust. The Generation-Skipping Transfer (GST) tax is governed by a completely different part of the Internal Revenue Code—Subtitle B, Chapter 13—and is not triggered by income accumulation, corpus classification, or DNI treatment. GST liability arises when a distribution is made to a "skip person" (such as a grandchild or great-grandchild) and no GST exemption has been allocated to cover the transfer.

The income tax structure of the trust—specifically its accumulation of income and exclusion of DNI—has no bearing on whether GST tax applies. To avoid unintended GST consequences, proper planning is required at the time of trust formation and

when funding the trust, including allocation of the grantor's GST exemption. If the trust was structured as GST exempt from the start, or if exemption was properly allocated during its operation, no GST tax will apply at termination. This is a matter of estate planning coordination, not a flaw in the income tax strategy, and it can be resolved with appropriate legal and tax guidance.

Section 8: Entity Structure and Investment Activity

41. "What if the trust owns a partnership with active income?"

If the trust holds an interest in a partnership that generates **active income**—such as trade or business income under IRC §702(a)(8), guaranteed payments, or compensation for services, then that portion of the income is taxable to the trust in the year it is received. Active income, unlike passive items such as rents, royalties, dividends, and interest, **cannot be allocated to corpus to avoid tax**. It is considered fiduciary accounting income and must be reported accordingly.

Because of this, the trust is deliberately structured to **exclude any interest in partnerships that produce active income**. The trustee is responsible for screening all Schedule K-1s to confirm that reported items fall exclusively within passive categories. If any activity appears to be materially participating or classified as non-passive under IRS rules, the trustee may decline the investment or divest. In some cases, **blocker corporations** (e.g., C corporations) may be used to isolate or convert active income into dividend income before reaching the trust. Avoiding active income is essential to preserving the trust's tax deferral model and compliance with Subchapter J.

42. "Can the trust invest in a CFC or PFIC?"

Technically, yes, but doing so can significantly disrupt the trust's income deferral strategy. **Controlled Foreign Corporations (CFCs)** and **Passive Foreign Investment Companies (PFICs)** are subject to complex anti-deferral tax regimes under Subpart F (IRC §§951–965) and the PFIC rules (IRC §§1291–1298). These regimes can impose **phantom income tax liability**—requiring the trust to recognize income even when no actual distributions are made.

This undermines the trust's fundamental principle of taxing income only when distributed or retained as DNI. For that reason, the trustee typically avoids investing directly in CFCs or PFICs unless absolutely necessary. If foreign exposure is desired, it may be achieved through **domestically structured investment vehicles** or U.S.-based funds that provide international exposure without triggering Subpart F or PFIC inclusions. Preserving passive income treatment and avoiding premature

recognition is a top priority, and that includes avoiding foreign entities that pierce the deferral shield.

43. "Does passive income from a REIT or MLP change anything?"

Generally no, but due diligence is critical. **Real Estate Investment Trusts (REITs)** and many **Master Limited Partnerships (MLPs)** do generate passive income such as dividends, rental income, and interest—making them suitable for this trust model. However, some MLPs also generate **Unrelated Business Taxable Income (UBTI)** or income classified as active trade or business activity, particularly from oil and gas operations or pipeline services.

If the trust receives UBTI or active income through a Schedule K-1, it must report and pay tax on that income even if it is not distributed. To prevent this, the trustee carefully evaluates investment offerings and reviews K-1s annually to ensure the income stays within passive categories. Investments that consistently generate clean passive income are allocated to corpus as usual and do not create DNI. When chosen wisely, REITs and MLPs can be compatible with the trust's structure—but attention to detail is required to avoid inadvertently triggering current-year tax.

44. "Does the trust need an EIN?"

Yes. As a non-grantor, irrevocable trust, this entity is treated as a separate taxpayer and must have its own **Employer Identification Number (EIN)** issued by the IRS. The EIN is essential for filing **Form 1041**, opening financial accounts, receiving tax statements (like 1099s or K-1s), and ensuring that trust income is properly attributed to the trust—not to the grantor or beneficiaries.

Without an EIN, the trust cannot comply with federal tax filing requirements and would not be recognized as an independent entity for tax purposes. The EIN is also a key administrative safeguard that ensures financial institutions treat the trust separately, protecting the beneficiaries and reinforcing the non-grantor status. Obtaining and maintaining the trust's EIN is a foundational step in preserving its integrity and regulatory compliance.

45. "Can this be combined with other tax strategies?"

Absolutely. This trust structure is highly versatile and is frequently integrated into more complex estate planning strategies. It can be layered with **grantor retained annuity trusts (GRATs)**, **intentionally defective grantor trusts (IDGTs)**, **charitable lead or remainder trusts**, **family limited partnerships (FLPs)**, and even **estate freezing techniques**—as long as the core principles of this trust are preserved: **no income distributions, passive-only receipts, and all allocations to corpus**.

By combining this trust with other planning tools, families can achieve enhanced asset protection, estate tax reduction, and multi-generational wealth preservation. These integrations are typically done with the help of experienced legal counsel to ensure the strategies complement each other without triggering unexpected tax consequences. The INGCDST's clarity and discipline make it a strong cornerstone for more advanced, layered planning. Its power lies not only in what it does—but in what it enables.

Section 9: Legal Standing and Enforcement

46. "Have courts upheld this kind of structure?"

Yes, courts have consistently upheld the legality and tax treatment of trusts that allocate receipts to corpus and retain income when done in accordance with the trust instrument and state fiduciary law.

Nexxess has literally hundreds of court cases that we highlight which shows the legal sufficiency and viability of these trusts. Landmark cases such as *Kenan v. Commissioner*, 114 F.2d 217 (2d Cir. 1940), and *Chase Manhattan Bank v. United States*, 488 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2007), confirm the IRS must respect fiduciary allocations made pursuant to valid trust terms. These cases reinforce that capital gains and other receipts are not automatically included in Distributable Net Income (DNI) simply because they are received—they must be included by specific directive or state law to be taxed.

The judiciary has long recognized the discretionary power of trustees to allocate gains and passive income to principal when authorized by the trust. Provided those decisions are consistent and documented, courts have repeatedly sided with the trust. This structure is not novel it's built on tested legal foundations that have held up under review for generations.

47. "Does the IRS ever challenge this type of trust?"

The IRS typically initiates challenges when a trust engages in underreporting, claims improper deductions, hides income, or masks distributions as loans or personal benefits. This trust does none of those. It fully reports all gross income on Form 1041, claims no deductions under IRC §651, issues no Schedule K-1s, and distributes nothing. Its tax position is conservative and transparent, with no aggressive tax planning or artificial transactions.

Because there is no flow-through of income and no tax avoidance maneuvers, the trust stays well within the framework of Subchapter J. IRS examiners are far more focused on complex abusive shelters or grantor trusts used for self-dealing—not long-term discretionary trusts that simply accumulate corpus in accordance with

fiduciary law. While any taxpayer or trust may be audited, a structure that is clean, consistent, and law-abiding is not an enforcement priority and is well positioned to defend itself if ever examined.

48. "Could Congress change the rules and make this taxable?"

Yes, Congress has the legislative authority to amend the Internal Revenue Code, including the provisions of Subchapter J that govern trust taxation. However, any such changes would apply prospectively and typically include transition rules for existing trusts. Structural tax law affecting estate planning and fiduciary administration is not changed lightly, as it impacts longstanding public policy interests like inheritance, family governance, and charitable giving. As it stands today, the trust operates fully within the current statutory framework. If future reforms affect accumulation trusts, planners and trustees will adapt accordingly, but unless and until the law changes, this structure is completely legal and enforceable. Moreover, trusts like this one are designed for long-term resilience and are regularly reviewed to account for potential legislative risk. Legal compliance is never static—it is actively maintained.

49. "Is this ethical tax planning?"

Absolutely. Ethical tax planning involves utilizing the law as written, complying with all reporting obligations, and making no false statements or concealed maneuvers. This trust is a textbook example of ethical planning. It does not disguise distributions, manipulate deductions, or misrepresent income. It simply follows the rules: reports all gross income on Form 1041, allocates receipts to principal as allowed under IRC §643, makes no distributions, and pays no tax because no taxable event occurs. Tax deferral through lawful accumulation is permitted under the Code, and courts have made clear that taking advantage of legally available provisions is not unethical. This is not about hiding income it's about honoring the intent of Congress by using the tools explicitly provided in the tax system. The trust reflects strategic, compliant, and fully ethical estate planning in its highest form.

50. "Will this really hold up under IRS scrutiny?"

Yes, so long as the trust is properly drafted, consistently administered, and accurately reported each year. The IRS respects structures that follow Subchapter J, adhere to fiduciary accounting rules, and report income without attempting improper deductions or beneficiary transfers. This trust reports every dollar of gross income annually, claims no distribution deduction, issues no K-1s, and makes no distributions. It does not manipulate reporting or rely on gray areas of tax law. What makes this trust durable under scrutiny is its discipline. The trust's terms clearly

prohibit income distributions, the trustee consistently allocates income to corpus, and fiduciary records support that position year after year. In the event of an audit, the IRS will see full transparency and conservative compliance—not risk-based behavior. Provided the trust continues this level of integrity, it will withstand IRS review and remain legally sound under current law.

Conclusion: Synthesis of Legal Theory, Fiduciary Logic, and Tax Compliance

This trust structure does not represent a loophole, nor is it a mechanism for tax avoidance—it is a disciplined, legally sound expression of what the Internal Revenue Code and fiduciary law explicitly permit. Rooted in the harmonious application of Subchapter J, IRC §643(a), and the Uniform Principal and Income Act, this structure achieves tax deferral not by omission or evasion, but by the consistent allocation of all income to principal, the deliberate avoidance of distributions, and the clear intent expressed through the trust instrument. It is a model that has been tested not only by case law and regulatory scrutiny, but by logic, transparency, and compliance.

This document has explored, through detailed legal reasoning and CPA-grade tax analysis, how a carefully structured irrevocable non-grantor complex discretionary spendthrift trust can achieve a lawful state of indefinite tax deferral. The trust operates within the strictures of Subchapter J, the Uniform Principal and Income Act, and a long line of federal tax case law that affirms trustee authority over income classification. It neither hides income nor creates artificial tax losses—it simply allocates passive receipts to corpus as directed by the trust instrument and permitted by state law. The absence of any distributions or creation of Distributable Net Income (DNI) ensures there is no deduction under IRC §661 and no inclusion under IRC §662.

Throughout its lifetime, this trust reports all gross income on Form 1041 in accordance with IRC §§61 and 641, but it claims no deductions and issues no K-1s. The trustee does not distribute income, does not elect to treat capital gains as DNI, and does not engage in recharacterization schemes. Instead, it relies on legal and accounting clarity—income is treated as corpus, and thus not subject to current taxation. This treatment is grounded in statutory provisions like IRC §643(a)(3), Treasury Regulation §1.643(a)-3, and cases such as *Kenan v. Commissioner*, *Chase Manhattan Bank v. United States*, and *Old Colony Trust Co. v. Commissioner*. These authorities confirm that capital gains and other passive income can be legally excluded from DNI and retained in corpus without triggering tax.

For CPAs and tax professionals, this document offers compelling answers in how fiduciary discretion, legal compliance, and careful drafting can converge to produce a robust, audit-ready, and transparent trust that avoids taxation not by loophole—but by the plain language of the law. If the trustee consistently follows the instrument's provisions and applicable fiduciary standards, the structure holds up under both technical scrutiny and practical enforcement realities. No income is hidden, no beneficiary receives a distribution, and no deductions are taken—the result is a trust that accumulates wealth tax-deferred for a century, with zero violation of tax policy or fiduciary duty.

This is not aggressive tax planning. It is strategic, intentional, and conservative. It is compliant not only with the letter of the law but also its spirit. For CPAs evaluating the validity of this structure, the test is not whether it feels familiar—it is whether it withstands objective application of the Internal Revenue Code, fiduciary accounting rules, and judicial precedent. As demonstrated herein, it does so decisively.

The strength of this model lies in its simplicity and adherence to legal principle. By avoiding the creation of Distributable Net Income and foregoing all deductions, the trust remains outside the scope of income taxation—because no taxable event arises. This is not a strategy of manipulation but of restraint: passive income is reported but never distributed, capital gains are legally excluded from DNI, and corpus is preserved as principal for the long-term benefit of future generations. There is no need for aggressive tactics or exotic interpretations; the Code itself, when read plainly and applied faithfully, leads to this result.

For CPAs, this trust challenges long-held assumptions about when and how income must be taxed. It invites a deeper appreciation of fiduciary accounting, a more nuanced understanding of trust taxation, and a professional willingness to distinguish between avoidance and deferral. This document is not just a technical defense—it is an invitation to elevate the discourse, refine our professional standards, and recognize that legal structures, when responsibly implemented, can achieve outcomes fully consistent with both the letter and spirit of tax law.

In a world where complexity often masks risk, this trust offers clarity, predictability, and compliance. It is a structure that withstands scrutiny not because it is clever, but because it is correct. For those willing to understand its architecture and respect its discipline, it stands as one of the most powerful and underutilized tools in the modern tax planning arsenal.